

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,726	08/21/2006	Alan K. Greenwood	2819341771	7150	
4743 MARSHALL	7590 06/17/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN		EXAM	EXAMINER	
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO. IL 60606			ROSENBAUM, MARK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3725	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/568,726 GREENWOOD ET AL. Office Action Summary

emocritonon cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	ĺ				
	Mark Rosenbaum	3725					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Estimations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15  - If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply with the sax or extended period for reply with the sax or extended period for perly with the sax or extended period for perly with the sax and the sax of the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 84-141 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 88-141 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ol>							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>84-87</u> is/are rejected.							
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li></ol>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
,							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/06.

- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/568,726

Art Unit: 3725

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Flection/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I is noted. However, the examiner does not agree that claim 88 should be part of the Group I subject matter since the suspension could be formed by other processes such as sterilizing the material after the microfluidizer treatment. Therefore, claim 88 has not been treated on its merits in this office action.

Applicant may traverse this modification of the proposed restriction requirement if so desired.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 84,85,87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harris et al. This patent discloses in column 7 the passing of a sterilized suspension through a sterilized microfluidizer which meets the claimed subject matter.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/568,726

Art Unit: 3725

Claim 86 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris et al. The exact final particle size would have been an obvious design choice only based on several factor such as desired end use of the particles.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3725

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

/Mark Rosenbaum/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725